Appendix A.8.11

A Survey of Selected Rivers for the Galway City Transport Project with Potential for Margaritifera (Moorkens, 2014a)

A SURVEY OF SELECTED RIVERS FOR THE GALWAY CITY TRANSPORT PROJECT WITH POTENTIAL FOR MARGARITIFERA

September 2014

Evelyn A. Moorkens

Contact Address:

53, Charleville Square, Rathfarnham, Dublin 14, Ireland.

Tel: 353 1 4948500 Mob: 353 86 8211385

e-mail: emoorkens@eircom.net

1.0 Introduction

A survey for the freshwater pearl mussel *Margaritifera margaritifera* was undertaken in County Galway within and downstream of the scheme study area for the N6 Galway City Transport Project. The survey concentrated on stream areas with potential to support *Margaritifera Margaritifera*, species that is protected by Annex II of the Habitat's Directive, and under the Irish Wildlife Act.

The survey was carried from out from 11th August to 24th August 2014.

2.0 Scope of the study

The scope of the study was to carry out a comprehensive freshwater pearl mussel survey within and downstream of the scheme study area for the N6 Galway City Transport Project, to inform the constraints survey within the following remit:

• To assist in the provision of sufficient data from a molluscan perspective to identify the least damaging option, not only in terms of impacts on Special Areas

of Conservation (SACs)/ Special Protection Areas (SPAs) but also on non-designated habitats for Annex II species;

- To determine if there are any currently undesignated populations of Annex II species which would qualify for SAC designation; and
- To determine if there are any undesignated areas of habitats of Annex II species which could qualify as 'damage' under the Environmental Liability Directive if impacted by the road.

3.0 Methodology

3.1 Habitat identification

The level of survey undertaken was determined by the potential for the presence of the freshwater pearl mussel *Margaritifera margaritifera* from maps (Discovery Series, Bedrock Geological Map of Ireland) according to the current *Margaritifera* survey manual (Anon., 2004). Potential was considered in areas of acid rock and with sufficient gradient to have the potential for good flow, including riffle habitat.

The River Corrib and east of the River Corrib were discounted through not having the appropriate geology to support *Margaritifera*. Streams west of the Corrib were included in the study.

In total, 9 waterbodies were surveyed as follows:

- The Lough inch Stream (Tributary of Knock River)
- The Bearna Stream
- An Sruthán Dubh
- The Trusca Stream
- Five other unnamed streams

3.2 Methodology

In each stream a rapid assessment was undertaken of river stretches identified from a desk assessment following the current standard methods for *Margaritifera* survey (Anon., 2004). As the streams were small, survey was carried out by wading in an upstream direction using a bathiscope according to Stage 1 survey techniques (Anon., 2004). The survey was carried out by Evelyn Moorkens and Ian Killeen under licenses from the Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht (National Parks and Wildlife Service).

4.0 Results

The streams surveyed are shown in Figures 1, and described in Table 1. No pearl mussel individuals were found in the survey.

5.0 Discussion

There were no populations or individuals of the freshwater pearl mussel *Margaritifera* found in this survey.

The small streams were found to be poor habitat for the species, and although the Bearna Stream had good potential, no mussels were found. While the Lough Inch River had poor habitat and was impacted by various pressures, the stream is upstream and in direct connectivity with the Knock River, and the confluence of the Lough Inch River and the Knock River is upstream of living mussels. The Knock Catchment is shown in the *Margaritifera* Sensitive Areas map on the NPWS website.

The scope of the study was to carry out sufficient *Margaritifera* survey within and downstream of the scheme study area for the N6 Galway City Transport Project, to inform the constraints study within the remit defined in Section 2. From the remit, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- There is sufficient data to state that there will be no direct impacts on Margaritifera within the scheme study area. There is sufficient data to state that there is a risk of indirect impact on Margaritifera in the non-designated Knock River habitat downstream of the Lough Inch River where this Annex II species lives;
- It is confirmed that there were no currently undesignated populations of Margaritifera found which would qualify for SAC designation; and
- It is confirmed that indirect and immediate damage to the Knock River, or damage to the Lough Inch River such that it could in the future work its way down to the Knock River could qualify as 'damage' under the Environmental Liability Directive if caused through impacts by the construction or operation of the road.

6.0 References

Anon (2004) Margaritifera margaritifera. Stage 1 and Stage 2 survey guidelines. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 12. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland.



Figure 1: Survey site locations

Table 1 Details of survey sites and results		
Site 1	Flat gradient, ponded river coming from Lo	
Lough Inch River	within the channel. Very muddy, organic su	
M21386 24558 at bridge	main channel at intervals. No potential pea	
Surveyed 200m u/s and d/s bridge	habitats further downstream through sedime	entation
Upstream of bridge	Upstream of bridge	Downstream of bridge
Muddy drain entering river	Muddy drain entering river	
Site 2 Lough Inch River M18890 23883 at bridge Surveyed 50m u/s and d/s bridge	Very shallow water with black cobble and g filamentous algae in places. Virtually no flo	

Downstream of bridge

Upstream of bridge

Downstream of bridge - algae

Site 3	Bridge recently remortared. Very shallow a	and almost dry d/s of bridge. Upstream the
Lough Inch River	habitat is in very poor condition with filame	
M18924 23962 at bridge	environment with wind turbines, high densi	ty housing, peat cutting, high nutrients,
Surveyed 100m u/s and 25m d/s bridge	boulders removed from riverbed.	
Downstream of bridge	Upstream of bridge	Upstream of bridge
Site 4		
Lough Inch River	River very dry at bridge with very little flow	v. Filamentous algae covering to substrate.
M19199 24101 at bridge	Habitat tunnelled upstream.	
Surveyed 50m u/s and 50m d/s bridge		
Downstream of bridge	Downstream of bridge	Upstream of bridge
Site 5		
Lough Inch River	Cattle access area with very muddy poached	I hanks and muddy water. Small gorge
M18857 23559	upstream created by granite outcrops. Solid	
Surveyed local area	granice outerops. Bond	
Cattle access to stream	Heavily poached banks	Turbid water resulting from cattle access

Site 6		(1 116 1) D
Lough Inch River M18874 23799	Rocky boulder crossing, dry and widened	(may be old ford). Dry mossy rocks and
Surveyed local area	Sparganium stands downstream	
View downstream	View downstream	View upstream
Site 7	Generally shaded habitats with scrub. Ver	w little flow or depth of water. Mixed
Lough Inch River	substrates, some places with mix of gravels	
M19295 24099	for mussels.	s and cooole. Overall, very little potential
Surveyed for c. 300m u/s	Tot massers.	
Site B		
Small stream	Temporary stream, runs dry, no Margaritifo	era habitat
M20060 22752	Temperary servain, raise ary, no ritar gui ing	
View downstream	View downstream	View upstream
Site C		
Small stream		
M21080 22507	Dry in places, high gradient, no Margaritif	era nabitat
Site D	Stream located on man at M21555 22620-	must be culverted off but so temporary it is
Small stream	not evident entering the sea at any stage. I	
M21555 22629	Berula and some standing water on the sea absorbed in gardens.	

View downstream of main road

Site E	Old tree line marks where the stream was.	It is now day and shoomhad into the
Small stream	surrounding land through drainage. No Ma	
M22088 23187	surrounding land through dramage. Two Mic	
View upstream	View downstream	
Site F Small stream M22716 23284	Remnants of old stream, now dry. No poter under the Twelve Hotel in Barna and then	
	<u> </u>	
Site G		
Trusca Sstream	At the quay in Barna, tidal influence, no ha	ıbitat
M23265 22735		
Beneath bridge		
Site H		
Trusca Stream	Too small and shallow for Margaritifera, o	lense filamentous algae
M23243 22814		
View downstream		
	1	

Site I	
Trusca Sstream	Slow peaty drain flowing through reedbed. No <i>Margaritifera</i> habitat
M23128 24271	
Site J	
An Sruthan Dubh	Very low flowing water in flat area in reed bed. No Margaritifera habitat
W22459 27033 View downstream	View upstream
Site K An Sruthan Dubh	Good gravel substrate but no significant flow and very tunnelled. No <i>Margaritifera</i> habitat
M23477 26284	
Site L An Sruthan Dubh M23626 26139	Stagnant water u/s of bridge, tunnelled downstream. No Margaritifera habitat

Site M	
Barna stream upper branch	Larger water body but slow flowing and highly silted, narrow and tunnelled
M24369 26282	upstream. No Margaritifera habitat
M24309 20202	
Site N	
Other Barna stream branch	Approximately 2m wide but stagnant and dry in places, very tunnelled downstream. No <i>Margaritifera</i> habitat
M26149 27013	No Margarinjera naonat
Site O	
Barna Stream main channel	Tunnelled, overgrown, very little flow, <i>Callitiche</i> , sandbags, culverted pipe.
M25346 26206	Narrowed downstream, water with grey tinge
Site P	Good flow with mossy rocks in stream, good boulder and gravel mixed substrate. Tree-
Barna Stream main channel	lined banks, but lots of new housing estates nearby. Rather shallow, mostly less than
M24644 23946	20cm deep but with flow where gradient is good. Some potential but no mussels found.

Site Q Barna Stream main channel M24750 23794	Near roadbridge. Still good substrate and some flow in places, tree lined but very shallow

Site R Barna Stream main channel M24341 24621	Narrow valley running through rough and improved pasture, scrub along banks, range of substrates but very little flow or depth of water. Very little potential.
14124341 24021	